

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450

	•			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/510,550	02/22/2000	Tuvia Barlev	2681/1G735US1	2935
27130 75	90 08/25/2003			
EITAN, PEARL, LATZER & COHEN ZEDEK LLP			EXAMINER	
		EPLC	DEPPE, BETSY LEE	
		RECEIVED	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
27		2 7 AUG 2003	2634	
		M & MOU ZUUS	DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/510,550	BARLEV ET AL			
Office Action St	ummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Betsy L. Deppe	2634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to commu	ınication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL.		— · s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-147</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are re	ejected.		•			
7) Claim(s) is/are o	bjected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-147 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	· ·	ed or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
		is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)						
 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-88) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent (S) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) 	wing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/510,550

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-53, 57-107, 111-130, 135-138 and 143-147 drawn to an apparatus for transporting a high speed data stream, classified in class 375, subclass 222.
 - II. Claims 54-56 and 108-110, drawn to a dispatcher, classified in class 375, subclass 377.
 - III. Claims 131-134, drawn to a near-end crosstalk canceller, classified in class 375, subclass 346.
 - IV. Claims 139-142, drawn to a method of allocating transmit power in an apparatus for transporting a high speed data stream over a plurality of modem elements, classified in class 375, subclass 227.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because a different dispatcher may be

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/510,550

Art Unit: 2634

used in invention I. The subcombination has separate utility such as a dispatcher in an apparatus without the encoder and decoder of invention I. The claims drawn to invention I do not recite the details of the dispatcher as recited in the claims drawn to invention II.

- 3. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention I can use a different near end crosstalk canceller. The subcombination has separate utility such as in an apparatus without the encoder and decoder of invention I. Claim 30 drawn to invention I does not include the details of a near-end crosstalk canceller as recited in claims 131-134.
- 4. Inventions I and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention I can use a different

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/510,550

Art Unit: 2634

method of allocating transmit power. The subcombination has separate utility such as in an apparatus without the encoder and decoder of invention I. Claim 26 drawn to invention I does not include the details of transmit power allocation as recited in claims 139-142.

- 5. Inventions II, III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as in a network that does not implement near-end crosstalk cancellation or transmit power allocation. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each group is not required for the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. A telephone call was made to Guy Yonay on August 18, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/510,550

Art Unit: 2634

- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betsy L. Deppe whose telephone number is (703) 305-4960. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday and Friday (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (703) 305-4714. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9315 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Customer Service for Technology Center 2600 whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Betsy L. Deppe Primary Examiner Art Unit 2634 August 18, 2003